

Strong pseudoconvexity in Banach spaces*

Sofía Ortega Castillo

January 4, 2017

Abstract

Having been unclear how to define strong (or strict) pseudoconvexity in the infinite-dimensional and non-smooth boundary context, we take a look at the available literature on strong pseudoconvexity, focusing first in eliminating the need of two degrees of smoothness e. g. via distributions. We pass to the infinite-dimensional setting by first seeing a uniform notion of strict pseudoconvexity. Since 2-uniformly PL-convex Banach spaces play an important role as examples with uniformly pseudoconvex unit ball, we briefly show that r -uniformly convex spaces are r -uniformly PL-convex, and prove a number of characterizations of r -uniform PL-convexity.

1 Introduction

Pseudoconvexity and strong pseudoconvexity have been widely studied in the context of several complex variables for reasons such as solving the Cauchy-Riemann equations [24, §7], [15]. Also known as the $\bar{\partial}$ problem, for $(0, 1)$ -forms in L_2 it defines an operator whose kernel consists of holomorphic L_2 functions; moreover, it has solutions that have proved helpful to build global peak functions [24, §6], to identify the Gelfand spectrum of some algebras of holomorphic functions [24, §4] and to give partial answers to the Corona problem [7]. Actually, strong pseudoconvexity is a natural context to study the Corona problem, as Sibony has constructed a pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary, that is strongly pseudoconvex except at one boundary point, for which the Corona of H^∞ is nonempty [23]. Furthermore, in finite dimension McDonald has proved, using $\bar{\partial}$ solutions, that a smooth and strongly pseudoconvex boundary is an enough condition to get a positive answer to the cluster value problem for H^∞ [20], which would already hold true if the Corona of H^∞ were known to be empty. In infinite dimension, the cluster value problem for H^∞ is mainly open, however it has been solved for the ball of $C(K)$ when K is dispersed [13], even though it lacks strong pseudoconvexity. The proof relies on repeated applications of a lemma based on a $\bar{\partial}$ solution for dimension one. The $\bar{\partial}$ problem is

*2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32C15, 32T15; Secondary 32A70, 46B25

generally unsolved in infinite dimension; an exception is the ball of ℓ_1 under some function-regularity conditions [18]. Moreover, the cluster value problem for H^∞ has been reduced from all Banach spaces to those that are ℓ_1 sums of finite-dimensional spaces [14]. Thus it would be very worth to investigate the cluster value problem and related questions for ℓ_1 and its finite-dimensional counterparts. One may ask if the ball of ℓ_1 is strongly pseudoconvex, however such ball does not even have a smooth enough boundary, so the question had been in vain. On the other hand, the ball of ℓ_1 is known to have desirable convexity properties in the complex sense, such as being uniformly c -convex [10], despite lacking strict convexity in the usual sense for normed spaces. To bridge a gap among these puzzling pieces of information, in this paper we present a unified notion of strong pseudoconvexity, that includes the infinite-dimensional and non-smooth setting.

We commence our pursue of this goal by surveying in section 2 the most relevant known characterizations, for our purposes, of pseudoconvexity and closely related concepts, such as plurisubharmonicity. The reader interested in a deeper study of pseudoconvexity in $\mathbb{C}^n$ will find it in [24]. Section 3 presents a characterization of strong pseudoconvexity, in the C^2 case, in terms of an exhaustion function. For the non- C^2 case, in section 4 we introduce strict plurisubharmonicity in distribution and on average and obtain some relationships to strict plurisubharmonicity. Section 5 focuses on providing examples of Banach spaces whose unit ball is uniformly pseudoconvex, which is a special case of strong pseudoconvexity. We discuss r -uniform PL-convex spaces for that, and in section 6 we briefly prove that r -uniformly convex spaces are r -uniformly PL-convex. For the sake of completeness, in section 7 we prove the equivalence of several characterizations of r -uniform PL-convexity used implicitly in [6].

2 A brief survey on pseudoconvexity

A very basic trait of pseudoconvexity is that it is known to be an obstruction to a proper holomorphic continuation in a number of contexts, such as in separable Banach spaces with the bounded approximation property, where pseudoconvex domains coincide with domains of existence and domains of holomorphy [21]. In an elementary fashion, pseudoconvexity is a complex property of open sets that generalizes linear convexity, just as polynomial convexity and holomorphic convexity. To be specific, pseudoconvexity is defined as convexity with respect to the class of plurisubharmonic functions, see e. g. [22, §5.1]. Let us recall a general definition of plurisubharmonicity.

Definition 2.1. *Let U be an open subset of a complex Banach space X . A function $f : U \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ is said to be plurisubharmonic if f is upper semi-continuous and for each $a \in U$ and $b \in X$ such that $a + \mathbb{D} \cdot b \subset U$,*

$$f(a) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(a + e^{i\theta}b) d\theta.$$

As an example easy to check, open and linearly convex sets are pseudoconvex. Pseudoconvexity has been characterized in other ways e. g. in [11, §2.6] and [21, §37], such as in the following manner: An open subset U of a complex Banach space X is pseudoconvex if and only if the function $-\log d_U$ is plurisubharmonic on U (where d_U denotes the distance to the boundary of U). In fact, the function d_U can be replaced for a function as below depending also on a vector $v \in X$,

$$\delta_U(a, v) = \sup\{r > 0 : a + r\mathbb{D} \cdot v \subset U\}.$$

Clearly, this implies that a domain is pseudoconvex if and only if its intersection with each finite-dimensional subspace is pseudoconvex as well.

In finite dimension, pseudoconvexity is equivalent to the existence of a plurisubharmonic exhaustion function of the domain [22, §5.4], where $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an exhaustion function for U if for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the set $U_c = \{z \in U : \phi(z) < c\}$ is relatively compact in U . Also in $\mathbb{C}^n$, U is pseudoconvex if and only if every point $\zeta \in \partial U$ has a neighborhood V_ζ such that $V_\zeta \cap U$ is pseudoconvex [22, §5.5]. For domains with C^2 boundary, there are some more characterizations of pseudoconvexity. We say that a domain U in $\mathbb{C}^n$ has C^2 boundary [22, §2.3] if and only if there exists a neighborhood V of ∂U and a real valued function $r \in C^2(V)$ such that $U \cap V = \{x \in U : r(x) < 0\}$ and the derivative of r is nonzero on ∂U . In this case we say that r is a C^2 defining function for ∂U . We also need to introduce the following definition in [21] to present the next characterization.

Definition 2.2. *Let U be an open subset of a complex Banach space X , and let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable mapping. Let $Df(a)$ denote the derivative of f at a . Then let $D'f(a)$ and $D''f(a)$ be defined by*

$$D'f(a)(t) = 1/2[Df(a)(t) - iDf(a)(it)],$$

$$D''f(a)(t) = 1/2[Df(a)(t) + iDf(a)(it)],$$

for every $t \in X$.

It is known that a function $f \in C^2(U, \mathbb{R})$ is plurisubharmonic iff for each $a \in U$ and $b \in X$ we have that

$$D'D''f(a)(b, b) \geq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

If $f \in C^2(U, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies a proper inequality in (2.1) for $b \neq 0$, we say that f is strictly plurisubhamonic. For example, if a domain U in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is pseudoconvex, then there is a strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function f for U such that the set of critical points of f is discrete in U [22, §2.10].

The Hermitian form given by the sesquilinearity of $(b, b') \mapsto D'D''f(a)(b, b')$ and the inequality in (2.1) is called the *Levi form* or *complex Hessian*.

In $\mathbb{C}^n$, an open domain U with C^2 boundary is pseudoconvex if and only if $D'D''(-\log d_U(a))(b, b) \geq 0$, for all $a \in U \cap V$, where V is some neighborhood of the boundary of U , and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$. This holds because pseudoconvexity is a local property of each point in the boundary and $-\log d_U$ is an exhaustion function of $U \cap V_\zeta$, for each small enough neighborhood V_ζ of $\zeta \in \partial U$.

Given a domain U in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with C^2 boundary, we say that U is Levi pseudoconvex if the Levi condition holds at all points $a \in \partial U$, i. e. for r any defining function of the boundary

$$D'D''r(a)(b, b) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } b \in \mathbb{C}^n \text{ such that } D'r(a)b = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

In case there is strict inequality in (2.2) for $b \neq 0$, U is said to be strictly Levi pseudoconvex at a .

It is easy to check that Levi pseudoconvexity and strict Levi pseudoconvexity are independent of the defining function of the boundary. Thus, using the functions r_v given by $-\delta_U(\cdot, v)$ in U and $\delta_U(\cdot, v)$ outside U , we can see that also strict Levi pseudoconvexity is equivalent to strict Levi pseudoconvexity in each of its subspaces.

It is known that for domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with C^2 boundary, Levi pseudoconvexity is equivalent to pseudoconvexity [11, Thm. 2.6.12], [22, §5.6]. In the same context, the following requirement is equivalent to strict Levi pseudoconvexity [22, §2.8]: U is strictly pseudoconvex if there are a neighborhood V of ∂U and a strictly plurisubharmonic function $r \in C^2(V)$ such that $U \cap V = \{z \in V : r(z) < 0\}$. In contrast, there exists a pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with a C^2 boundary that has no defining plurisubharmonic function, called the worm domain [5]. Moreover, while strongly pseudoconvex domains are locally biholomorphic to strongly convex sets [9, Prop. 11.2], a pseudoconvex domain is not, in general, locally biholomorphic to a convex set [KN].

Since strict pseudoconvexity is defined in terms of strict plurisubharmonicity, let us see a couple of characterizations of the latter concept. From [21, Lemma 35.4], if U is a domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, a C^2 function $f : U \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ is strictly plurisubharmonic if and only if there exists a strictly positive function $\varphi \in C^\infty(U; \mathbb{R})$ such that for each $a \in U$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$D'D''f(a)(b, b) \geq \varphi(a)\|b\|^2. \quad (2.3)$$

We now express strong plurisubharmonicity in a way independent of two degrees of differentiability. The reader can get the idea for this proof from [21, Lemma 35.1], however we include the full proof since we could not find it elsewhere.

Proposition 2.1. *Let U be an open domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. A function $f \in C^2(U; \mathbb{R})$ is strictly plurisubharmonic if and only if there exists a strictly positive function $\varphi \in C^\infty(U; \mathbb{R})$ such that, for all $a \in U$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of small norm (with size depending on a),*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (f(a + e^{i\theta}b) - f(a))d\theta \geq \varphi(a)\|b\|^2 \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists a positive function $\varphi \in C^\infty(U; \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (2.4) for $a \in U$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of small norm. Given $a \in U$, fix $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ small so that $a + \mathbb{D}b \subset U$, and consider the function $u(z) = f(a + z \cdot b)$, which is defined on $\mathbb{D}$.

Then, for all $r \in (0, 1)$,

$$\varphi(a)\|b\|^2 \cdot r^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (u(r \cdot e^{i\theta}) - u(0))d\theta$$

Consequently, by Lemma 5 in [25], and exercises 35.B and 35.D in [21],

$$\varphi(a)\|b\|^2 \leq \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}(0) = D' D'' f(a)(b, b). \quad (2.5)$$

Now suppose that there exists a positive function $\varphi \in C^\infty(U; \mathbb{R})$ such that (2.5) holds for all $a \in U$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of small norm. Fix $a \in U$. Since φ is continuous at a , there exists a bound $\delta > 0$ for the norm of b to make $|\varphi(a) - \varphi(a+b)| \leq \varphi(a)/2$ hold. Fix b as before, and define $M(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [f(a + r e^{i\theta} b) - f(a)]d\theta$, for all $r \in (0, 1]$. Consider also the function $u(\zeta) = f(a + \zeta b)$ defined on a disk $\Delta(0, R) \supset \bar{\Delta}$. Then, for all $\zeta \in \Delta(0, R)$,

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(\zeta) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}(\zeta) = 4 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \zeta \partial \bar{\zeta}}(\zeta) = 4 \cdot D' D'' f(a + \zeta b)(b, b) \geq 4 \cdot \varphi(a + \zeta b)\|b\|^2.$$

Since $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2}$, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \right) u(r e^{i\theta}) d\theta \geq 4 \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(a + \zeta b)\|b\|^2 \geq 2 \cdot \varphi(a)\|b\|^2,$$

i.e. $M''(r) + \frac{1}{r} M'(r) \geq 2 \cdot \varphi(a)\|b\|^2$, $\forall r \in (0, 1)$.

Thus $(rM'(r) - 2 \cdot \varphi(a)\|b\|^2 \frac{r^2}{2})' = rM''(r) + M'(r) - 2 \cdot \varphi(a)\|b\|^2 r \geq 0$ for all $r \in (0, 1)$, so $r(M'(r) - \varphi(a)\|b\|^2 r)$ is an increasing function of r . Since clearly $r(M'(r) - \varphi(a)\|b\|^2 r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ (because M' is a bounded function on $(0, \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$), we conclude that $r(M'(r) - \varphi(a)\|b\|^2 r) \geq 0$ for every $r \in (0, 1)$. Hence $(M(r) - \varphi(a)\|b\|^2 \frac{r^2}{2})' \geq 0$ for every $r > 0$, so $M(r) - \varphi(a)\|b\|^2 \frac{r^2}{2}$ is an increasing function of r . Since clearly $M(r) - \varphi(a)\|b\|^2 \frac{r^2}{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ then $M(r) \geq \varphi(a)\|b\|^2 \frac{r^2}{2}$ for each $r \in (0, 1)$.

Since M is continuous on $(0, 1]$, we conclude that $M(1) \geq \frac{\varphi(a)}{2}\|b\|^2$, so indeed

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [f(a + e^{i\theta} b) - f(a)]d\theta \geq \frac{\varphi(a)}{2}\|b\|^2.$$

□

3 Strong pseudoconvexity

The purpose of this section is to provide a characterization of strong pseudoconvexity in terms of an exhaustion function. It has been known that the existence

of a strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function is equivalent to plain pseudoconvexity [22, §5.4]. However, strong pseudoconvexity can be characterized in terms of the following type of strict plurisubharmonicity for an exhaustion function. We include the proof for completeness.

Theorem 3.1. *Let U be an open domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with C^2 boundary. Then U is strictly pseudoconvex if and only if there exist V a neighborhood of $\bar{U}$, $\rho \in C^2(V)$ a defining function of ∂U , and $\varphi \in C^\infty(U)$ strictly positive such that $\inf_{a \in U} \varphi(a)|\rho(a)| > 0$ and,*

$$D'D''(-\log|\rho|)(a)(b, b) \geq \varphi(a)\|b\|^2 \text{ for all } a \in U \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Suppose that there exist V a neighborhood of $\bar{U}$, $\rho \in C^2(V)$ a defining function of ∂U , and $\varphi \in C^\infty(U)$ positive such that $\inf_{a \in U} \varphi(a)|\rho(a)| > 0$ and $D'D''(-\log|\rho|)(a)(b, b) \geq \varphi(a)\|b\|^2$ for every $a \in U$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Since for $a \in U$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ arbitrary we have

$$D'D''(-\log|\rho|)(a)(b, b) = \frac{1}{|\rho(a)|} D'D''\rho(a)(b, b) + \frac{1}{\rho(a)^2} \cdot |D'\rho(a)(b)|^2$$

we obtain that

$$D'D''\rho(a)(b, b) \geq |\rho(a)|\varphi(a)\|b\|^2 \text{ when } a \in U \text{ and } D'\rho(a)(b) = 0.$$

A passage to the limit shows that on the boundary we have what we desired:

$$D'D''\rho(a)(b, b) > 0 \text{ when } a \in \partial U \text{ and } b \neq 0 \text{ satisfies } D'\rho(a)(b) = 0.$$

Now suppose that U is strictly pseudoconvex. Due to [9, Prop. 10.4], we can find a neighborhood V of $\bar{U}$ and $\rho \in C^2(V)$ a defining function of the boundary of U that is strictly plurisubharmonic. Consequently, there exists a positive function $\phi \in C^\infty(V)$ such that

$$D'D''\rho(a)(b, b) \geq \phi(a)\|b\|^2 \text{ for all } a \in V \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Let $\varphi = \phi/|\rho|$ on U . Then for $a \in U$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ arbitrary,

$$\begin{aligned} D'D''(-\log|\rho|)(a)(b, b) &= \frac{1}{|\rho(a)|} D'D''\rho(a)(b, b) + \frac{1}{|\rho(a)|^2} |D'\rho(a)(b)|^2 \\ &\geq \varphi(a)\|b\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\inf_{a \in U} \varphi(a)|\rho(a)| = \inf_{a \in U} \phi(a) > 0$, because ϕ is a positive function across the boundary of U . $\square$

A simple way to use the previous result to gauge strong pseudoconvexity of a C^2 domain U is to check equation (3.1) for a suitable $\varphi \in C^\infty(U)$ and $\rho = -d_U$ at points of U where ρ is C^2 , and to verify that $\inf_U(\varphi \cdot d_U) > 0$.

In the case when the boundary of a domain is not C^2 , let us develop more tools in the next section to identify domains close to being strongly pseudoconvexity.

4 Strict plurisubharmonicity in distribution

We have seen that the concept of strong pseudoconvexity is tied together to the notion of strict plurisubharmonicity for C^2 functions. In turn, if an upper semicontinuous function satisfies equation (2.4), we are only left to check it is C^2 to obtain it is strictly plurisubharmonic. However, if the C^2 condition fails, an initial way to overcome the lack of two degrees of differentiability is to talk about strict plurisubharmonicity in distribution:

Given U an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$, we will denote the real-valued test functions on U by $\mathcal{D}(U)$. A distribution on U is known to be a continuous functional on $\mathcal{D}(U)$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{D}'(U)$ the vector space of all distributions on U .

Given $f \in L^1(U, loc)$, we say that f is (strictly) plurisubharmonic in distribution if the distribution it induces is (strictly) plurisubharmonic. At the same time, a distribution $T \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ is called plurisubharmonic if

$$D'D''T(\phi)(t, t) := \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}(\phi) t_j \bar{t}_k \geq 0, \text{ for all } \phi \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(U) \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

And we will say that $T \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ is strictly plurisubharmonic if there exists $\psi \in C^\infty(U)$ positive such that

$$D'D''T(\phi)(t, t) \geq \left(\int_U \psi \cdot \phi \, d\lambda \right) \|t\|^2, \text{ for all } \phi \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(U) \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

It has been proved, e. g. in [12, §3.2 and 4.1], that plurisubharmonicity is equivalent to plurisubharmonicity in distribution in the following sense:

Suppose that U is a connected domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. If $f \neq -\infty$ is plurisubharmonic, then $f \in L^1(U, loc)$ and f is plurisubharmonic in distribution. Conversely, if $T \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ is plurisubharmonic then there exists $f \in L^1(U, loc)$ plurisubharmonic such that f induces the distribution T . As a corollary, if $f \in L^1(U, loc)$ is plurisubharmonic in distribution then there exists $g \in L^1(U, loc)$ plurisubharmonic such that $f = g$ λ -a.e.

To prove an analogous version of such result for strict plurisubharmonicity, we will say that an upper semicontinuous function $g : U \subset X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ is *strictly plurisubharmonic on average* if there exists $\varphi \in C^\infty(U)$ positive such that for all $a \in U$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of small norm (with size depending on a),

$$\varphi(a) \|b\|^2 + g(a) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(a + e^{i\theta} b) d\theta. \quad (4.1)$$

Due to Proposition 2.1, strictly plurisubharmonic functions are strictly plurisubharmonic on average. Let us now see the relationship to strict plurisubharmonicity in distribution.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that U is a connected domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. If $f \neq -\infty$ is strictly plurisubharmonic on average, then $f \in L^1(U, loc)$ and f is strictly*

plurisubharmonic in distribution. Conversely, if $T \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ is strictly plurisubharmonic, then there exists $f \in L^1(U, \text{loc})$ strictly plurisubharmonic on average such that f induces the distribution T . As a corollary, if $f \in L^1(U, \text{loc})$ is strictly plurisubharmonic in distribution then there exists $g \in L^1(U, \text{loc})$ strictly plurisubharmonic on average such that $f = g$ λ -a.e.

Proof. If $f \neq -\infty$ is strictly plurisubharmonic on average, then f is in particular plurisubharmonic, so we can use the relationship to plurisubharmonicity in distribution to deduce that $f \in L^1(U, \text{loc})$. Moreover, since f is strictly plurisubharmonic on average in $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, there exists a positive function $\psi \in C^\infty(U)$ such that

$$\psi(a)\|b\|^2 + f(a) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(a + e^{i\theta}b) d\theta,$$

for all $a \in U$ and $b \in X$ of small norm.

Consider the test function $\rho : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} k \cdot e^{-1/(1-\|x\|^2)}, & \text{if } \|x\| < 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } \|x\| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

where the constant k is chosen so that $\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \rho d\lambda = 1$. More generally, for each $\delta > 0$ let $\rho_\delta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be defined by $\rho_\delta(x) = \delta^{-n} \rho(x/\delta)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, so that $\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \rho_\delta d\lambda = 1$ and $\text{supp}(\rho_\delta) = \bar{B}(0, \delta)$.

Consequently, for $\delta > 0$, $a \in U_\delta$ and $b \in X$ small so that $a + \bar{\Delta}b \subset U_\delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a)\|b\|^2 + f * \rho_\delta(a) &= \int_{\bar{B}(0, \delta)} (\psi(a)\|b\|^2 + f(a - \zeta)) \rho_\delta(\zeta) d\lambda(\zeta) \\ &\leq \int_{\bar{B}(0, \delta)} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(a - \zeta + e^{i\theta}b) d\theta \right) \rho_\delta(\zeta) d\lambda(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_{\bar{B}(0, \delta)} f(a - \zeta + e^{i\theta}b) \rho_\delta(\zeta) d\lambda(\zeta) \right) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Fubini's theorem because $f \in L^1(U, \text{loc})$. Therefore

$$\psi(a)\|b\|^2 + f * \rho_\delta(a) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f * \rho_\delta(a + e^{i\theta}b) d\theta.$$

That is, $f * \rho_\delta \in C^\infty(U_\delta)$ is strictly plurisubharmonic for each $\delta > 0$, and from the proof of Proposition 2.1 we obtain

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 (f * \rho_\delta)(a)}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} b_j \bar{b}_k \geq \psi(a)\|b\|^2, \quad \forall a \in U_\delta \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Consequently, from the monotone convergence theorem and then integration by parts, we obtain that given $t \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$, say with $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset U_{\delta_0}$, and

taking $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ with $\delta_n < \delta_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{U_{\delta_0}} f(z) \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \phi(z)}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} t_j \bar{t}_k \right) d\lambda(z) &= \lim_{\delta_n \rightarrow 0} \int_{U_{\delta_0}} f * \rho_{\delta_n}(z) \cdot \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \phi(z)}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} t_j \bar{t}_k d\lambda(z) \\
&= \lim_{\delta_n \rightarrow 0} \int_{U_{\delta_0}} \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 (f * \rho_{\delta_n})(z)}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} t_j \bar{t}_k \right) \phi(z) d\lambda(z) \\
&\geq \int_{U_{\delta_0}} \psi(z) \|t\|^2 \phi(z) d\lambda(z)
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. f is strictly plurisubharmonic in distribution.

Now suppose that $T \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ is a strictly plurisubharmonic distribution. Then $T * \rho_\delta \in C^\infty(U_\delta)$ and for all $z \in U_\delta$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 (T * \rho_\delta)(z)}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} t_j \bar{t}_k &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} * \rho_\delta(z) t_j \bar{t}_k \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} [\rho_\delta(z - \cdot)] t_j \bar{t}_k \\
&\geq \int_U \psi(w) \|t\|^2 \rho_\delta(z - w) d\lambda(w) \\
&= \psi * \rho_\delta(z) \|t\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\psi \in C^\infty(U)$ positive.

Consequently $\psi * \rho_\delta(z) \|t\|^2 / 2 + T * \rho_\delta(z) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} T * \rho_\delta(z + e^{i\theta} t) d\theta$.

Since T is in particular a plurisubharmonic distribution, we get that $T * \rho_\delta$ decreases to $f \in L^1(U, \text{loc})$ plurisubharmonic that induces T . Consequently, for all $z \in U$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $z + \bar{\Delta} t \subset U$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z + e^{i\theta} t) d\theta &= \lim_{\delta_n \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} T * \rho_{\delta_n}(z + e^{i\theta} t) d\theta \\
&\geq \lim_{\delta_n \rightarrow 0} (T * \rho_{\delta_n}(z) + \psi * \rho_{\delta_n}(z) \|t\|^2 / 2) \\
&= f(z) + \psi(z) \|t\|^2 / 2.
\end{aligned}$$

The theorem and its corollary are now clear. □

With Theorem 4.1 in mind, we say that a connected domain U in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is *strictly pseudoconvex on average* if there is a function $\rho \neq -\infty$ strictly plurisubharmonic on average in a neighborhood V of $\bar{U}$ such that $U = \{z \in V : \rho(z) < 0\}$. If we also take Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 2.1 into account, we call a connected domain U in $\mathbb{C}^n$ *strictly pseudoconvex in distribution* when there exist V a neighborhood of $\bar{U}$ and $\rho : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ upper semicontinuous such that

$U = \{z \in V : \rho(z) < 0\}$, and there is $\psi \in C^\infty(U)$ strictly positive such that $\inf_{a \in U} \psi(a)|\rho(a)| > 0$, and for all $a \in U$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of small norm (with size depending on a),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (-\log |\rho|)(a + e^{i\theta}b) d\theta \geq \varphi(a)\|b\|^2 + (-\log |\rho|)(a).$$

5 Uniform pseudoconvexity

A special case of strict plurisubharmonicity on average is when we can find a constant function ϕ satisfying equation (4.1). We will say that an upper semicontinuous function $g : U \subset X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *uniformly plurisubharmonic* when there is a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that for all $a \in U$ and $b \in X$ of small norm (with size depending on a),

$$\lambda\|b\|^2 + g(a) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(a + e^{i\theta}b) d\theta. \quad (5.1)$$

Of course, we say that a connected domain in a Banach space is *uniformly pseudoconvex* when there exists ρ uniformly plurisubharmonic in a neighborhood V of $\bar{U}$ such that $U = \{z \in V : \rho(z) < 0\}$.

Let us exhibit some Banach spaces whose unit ball is uniformly pseudoconvex. For that let us introduce the following notion of uniform convexity for complex quasi-normed spaces found in [6], that generalizes uniform c -convexity as defined by Globevnik [10]. They pass from the real to the complex concept by replacing norms of midpoints of segments in the space by average norms of complex discs in the space. As it turns out, while the real modulus of convexity measures uniformly the convexity of the ball of a normed space, its complex analog measures subharmonicity instead of convexity.

Definition 5.1. *If $0 < q < \infty$ and $2 \leq r < \infty$, a continuously quasi-normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is r -uniformly PL-convex if there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|a + e^{i\theta}b\|^q d\theta\right)^{1/q} \geq (\|a\|^r + \lambda\|b\|^r)^{1/r}$$

for all a and b in X ; we shall denote the largest possible value of λ by $I_{r,q}(X)$.

Later we will see that the previous definition does not depend on q . Let us recall that a quasi-normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is continuously quasi-normed if $\|\cdot\|$ is uniformly continuous on the bounded sets of X . Banach spaces are obviously continuously quasi-normed.

Davis, Garling and Tomczak-Jaegermann proved that for $p \in [1, 2]$, $L_p(\Sigma, \Omega, \mu)$ is 2-uniformly PL-convex ([6, Cor. 4.2]). Other examples of 2-uniformly PL-convex spaces include the dual of any C^* -algebra ([6, Thm. 4.3]), the complexification of a Banach lattice with 2-concavity constant 1 ([17, Cor. 4.2]) and the

non commutative $L^p(M)$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, where M is a von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space ([8, Thm. 4]).

The following theorem gives us in particular that $L_p(\Sigma, \Omega, \mu)$, for $p \in [1, 2]$, has uniformly pseudoconvex unit ball.

Theorem 5.1. *If X is a 2-uniformly PL-convex Banach space then B_X is uniformly pseudoconvex.*

Proof. Let $a \in 2B_X$ and $b \in X$ so that $a + \mathbb{D}b \subset 2B_X$. In particular $b \in 2B_X$. Also,

$$(\|a\|^2 + I_{2,1}(X)\|b\|^2)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|a + e^{i\theta}b\| d\theta$$

Let $\lambda_0 = \sqrt{I_{2,1}(X)/4 + 1/4} - 1/2 > 0$. Observe that

$$\|a\| + \lambda_0\|b\|^2 \leq (\|a\|^2 + I_{2,1}(X)\|b\|^2)^{1/2}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} (\|a\| + \lambda_0\|b\|^2)^2 &= \|a\|^2 + 2\lambda_0\|a\|\|b\|^2 + \lambda_0^2\|b\|^4 \\ &\leq \|a\|^2 + (4\lambda_0 + 4\lambda_0^2)\|b\|^2 \\ &= \|a\|^2 + 4((\lambda_0 + 1/2)^2 - 1/4)\|b\|^2 \\ &= \|a\|^2 + I_{2,1}(X)\|b\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\lambda_0\|b\|^2 + (\|a\| - 1) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\|a + e^{i\theta}b\| - 1) d\theta.$$

Hence B_X is uniformly pseudoconvex with respect to the uniformly plurisubharmonic defining function $\rho : 2B_X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\rho(x) = \|x\| - 1$. $\square$

Going back to strict pseudoconvexity, in infinite dimension we will say that a connected domain is strongly pseudoconvex if its intersection with each finite-dimensional subspace is strictly pseudoconvex on average. As a consequence of Theorem 5.1, it is clear that the ball of any 2-uniformly PL-convex Banach space is strongly pseudoconvex, such as $B_{L_p(\Sigma, \Omega, \mu)}$, for $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

In contrast, it is known that for $2 < p \leq \infty$ and $n \geq 2$, the ball of ℓ_p^n lacks strong pseudoconvexity, which implies that for $p > 2$, the balls of ℓ_p and L_p are not strictly pseudoconvex. If K is a compact and Hausdorff space with at least two elements, $B_{C(K)}$ is not strongly pseudoconvex either (it contains $B_{\ell_\infty^2}$).

In short, even though convex open sets are always pseudoconvex, we have that strictly convex Banach spaces do not necessarily have a strictly pseudoconvex unit ball, and that spaces with a strictly pseudoconvex ball such as $L_1(\Sigma, \Omega, \mu)$ are not necessarily strictly convex.

6 r -uniformly convex is r -uniformly PL-convex

We have seen that 2-uniformly PL-convex spaces are relevant examples in this article due to having a strictly pseudoconvex unit ball. Let us take a brief detour into giving a short and simple proof that r -uniformly convex spaces are r -uniformly PL-convex. This result is a consequence of the main theorems in the recent papers [1] and [3], that involve Hardy spaces.

Let X be a normed space of dimension at least two. Its modulus of convexity δ_X is given by

$$\delta_X(\epsilon) = \inf\{1 - \|x + y\|/2 : \|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1, \|x - y\| \geq \epsilon\}$$

for $0 < \epsilon \leq 2$.

According to [2], given $r \geq 2$, X is said to be r -uniformly convex in case $\delta_X(\epsilon) \geq (\epsilon/C)^r$ for some $C \geq 1$.

Let us also introduce the moduli

$$\delta_q^X(\epsilon) = \inf\{1 - \|x\| : \|x + y\|^q + \|x - y\|^q \leq 2, \|y\| \geq \epsilon\}$$

for $q > 0$.

As mentioned in [6], there exists $K_q \geq 1$ such that $\delta_X(\epsilon/K_q) \leq K_q \delta_q^X(\epsilon)$ for $\epsilon \leq 1/K_q$ and every $q > 1$. Choose $N_q \geq 3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $3K_q \leq N_q + 1$.

Then, the moduli $\Delta_q^X(\epsilon) = \inf\{(\frac{\|x+y\|^q + \|x-y\|^q}{2})^{1/q} - 1 : \|x\| = 1, \|y\| \geq \epsilon\}$ satisfy $\delta_q^X(\epsilon/(N_q + 1)) \leq \Delta_q^X(\epsilon) \leq \epsilon \leq N_q$ for $\epsilon \in (0, N_q]$, indeed:

Given $\delta > 0$ we can find $x \in S_X$ and $y \in X$ with $\|y\| \geq \epsilon$ such that

$$(\frac{\|x + y\|^q + \|x - y\|^q}{2})^{1/q} - 1 \leq \Delta_q^X(\epsilon) + \delta.$$

Hence

$$\|\frac{x}{\Delta_q^X(\epsilon) + 1 + \delta} + \frac{y}{\Delta_q^X(\epsilon) + 1 + \delta}\|^q + \|\frac{x}{\Delta_q^X(\epsilon) + 1 + \delta} - \frac{y}{\Delta_q^X(\epsilon) + 1 + \delta}\|^q \leq 2$$

and $\|\frac{y}{\Delta_q^X(\epsilon) + 1 + \delta}\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{N_q + 1 + \delta}$, so

$$\delta_q^X(\frac{\epsilon}{N_q + 1 + \delta}) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\Delta_q^X(\epsilon) + 1 + \delta} = \frac{\Delta_q^X(\epsilon) + \delta}{\Delta_q^X(\epsilon) + 1 + \delta} \leq \frac{\Delta_q^X(\epsilon) + \delta}{1 + \delta}$$

and thus $\delta_q^X(\epsilon/(N_q + 1)) \leq \Delta_q^X(\epsilon)$ for $0 < \epsilon \leq N_q$.

Then, if X is r -uniformly convex, since $3 \leq \min\{(N_q + 1)/K_q, N_q\}$ we have that for $0 < \epsilon \leq 3$,

$$(\frac{\epsilon}{(N_q + 1)K_q C})^r \leq \delta_X(\frac{\epsilon}{(N_q + 1)K_q}) \leq K_q \delta_q^X(\epsilon/(N_q + 1)) \leq K_q \Delta_q^X(\epsilon), \quad \forall q > 1,$$

i.e. there exists $C_q > 0$ such that $\Delta_q^X(\epsilon) \geq (\epsilon/C_q)^r$ for $\epsilon \in (0, 3]$ and all $q > 1$.

We would like to show that r -uniformly convex spaces are r -uniformly PL-convex, so it is enough to show that $\Delta_q^X(\epsilon) \geq (\epsilon/C_q)^r$ for $\epsilon \in (0, 3]$ implies that there exists $\lambda_q > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{i\theta}y\|^q d\theta\right)^{1/q} \geq (\|x\|^r + \lambda_q \|y\|^r)^{1/r}$$

for all x and y in X .

The inequality above is clear in case $x = 0$ (choose $\lambda_q \in (0, 1]$) or $y = 0$. So let us assume that x and y are both nonzero. Also, without loss of generality $\|x\| = 1$.

In the case that $\|y\| \leq 3$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{i\theta}y\|^q d\theta\right)^{1/q} &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2}(\|x + e^{i\theta}y\|^q + \|x - e^{i\theta}y\|^q) d\theta\right)^{1/q} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\Delta_q^X(\|y\|) + 1)^q d\theta\right)^{1/q} \\ &\geq \left(\left(\frac{\|y\|}{C_q}\right)^r + 1\right) \\ &= (1/C_q)^r \|y\|^r + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to show that $((1/C_q)^r \|y\|^r + 1)^r \geq 1 + \lambda_q \|y\|^r$ for some $\lambda_q \in (0, 1]$, or equivalently that

$$((1/C_q)^r \|y\|^{r-1} + \|y\|^{-1})^r - (\|y\|^{-1})^r > 0.$$

Letting $t = 1/\|y\|$, it is enough to show that $(1/C_q^r t^{1-r} + t)^r - t^r > 0$, indeed,

$$(1/C_q^r t^{1-r} + t)^r - t^r = \int_t^{1/C_q^r t^{1-r} + t} r s^{r-1} ds \geq 1/C_q^r t^{1-r} (rt^{r-1}) = r/C_q^r,$$

so $\lambda_q = \min\{1, r/C_q^r\}$ works.

If $\|y\| > 3$, we have that

$$\left[\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{i\theta}y\|^q d\theta\right)^{r/q} - 1\right]/\|y\|^r \geq \frac{(\|y\| - 1)^r - 1}{\|y\|^r} \geq \frac{2^r - 1}{3^r}$$

where the last inequality comes from $\frac{(x+2)^r - 1}{(x+3)^r}$ being non-decreasing for $x > 0$.

So $\lambda_q = \min\{1, r/C_q^r, \frac{2^r - 1}{3^r}\}$ works in all cases.

Remark 6.1. Examples of normed spaces that are r -uniformly convex include the L_r spaces and the Schatten trace ideals C_r (see [2, p. 475]). The definition of the Schatten trace ideals C_r can be found in [4]: C_r consists of the compact operators A on separable complex Hilbert space for which the quasi-norm $\|A\| = (\text{trace}\{(A^*A)^{r/2}\})^{1/r}$ is finite.

7 Characterizations of r -uniform PL-convexity

Let us finish this work proving a number of characterizations of r -uniform PL-convexity. In [6] a normed space X is defined as uniformly PL-convex when $H_1^X(\epsilon) > 0$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, where

$$H_p^X(\epsilon) = \inf \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{i\theta} y\|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} - 1 : \|x\| = 1, \|y\| = \epsilon \right\} \text{ for } p > 0.$$

In the same paper we find that, if X is uniformly PL-convex, then all the moduli H_p^X are equivalent for $p \in (0, \infty)$, i.e. if $0 < p < q < \infty$ there exists $K_{p,q} \geq 1$ such that

$$H_q^X(\epsilon/K_{p,q}) \leq K_{p,q} H_p^X(\epsilon) \leq K_{p,q} H_q^X(\epsilon), \text{ for } 0 < \epsilon \leq 1/K_{p,q}.$$

Examples of spaces uniformly PL-convex are the uniformly convex [6, p.116].

Let us recall that, also according to [6], X is a r -uniformly PL-convex space ($2 \leq r < \infty$) if there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$(\|x\|^r + \lambda\|y\|^r)^{1/r} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{i\theta} y\|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and some $p > 0$. This implies that, whenever $\|x\| = 1$ and $\|y\| = \epsilon$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{i\theta} y\|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} \geq (1 + \lambda\epsilon^r)^{1/r};$$

so when $0 < \epsilon \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_p^X(\epsilon) &\geq (1 + \lambda\epsilon^r)^{1/r} - 1 \\ &= \int_1^{1+\lambda\epsilon^r} \frac{1}{r} t^{1/r-1} dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r} (1 + \lambda\epsilon^r)^{1/r-1} \cdot \lambda\epsilon^r \\ &\geq \frac{4^{1-r} \cdot \lambda}{r} \epsilon^r \\ &= \epsilon^r / K^r \end{aligned}$$

for $K = \left(\frac{r}{4^{1-r}\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \geq (2 \cdot 4^{r-1})^{\frac{1}{r}} \geq 1$, which in particular gives that $H_p^X \succ^0 g$ for $g(\epsilon) = \epsilon^r$ ($\succ^0$ as defined in [6, p. 114]). When $\epsilon > 3$ the inequality still holds since $x \mapsto \|x\|^p$ is plurisubharmonic. Thus, due to [6, Thm. 2.4], X is uniformly PL-convex and all the moduli H_p^X are equivalent, so in particular $H_1^X \succ^0 g$ for $g(\epsilon) = \epsilon^r$.

On the other hand, if $H_1^X \succ^0 g$ for $g(\epsilon) = \epsilon^r$, then $H_1^X(\epsilon) > 0$ for ϵ small, which in turn implies that $H_1^X(\epsilon) > 0$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, again because $x \mapsto \|x\|$ is

plurisubharmonic [21, Cor. 34.6]. Then $H_p^X \succ^0 g$ for all $p > 0$, i. e. for each $p > 0$ there exists $K_p \geq 1$ such that

$$(\epsilon/K_p)^r \leq K_p H_p^X(\epsilon) \text{ for } 0 < \epsilon \leq 1/K_p.$$

Equivalently,

$$(\epsilon/K_p)^r \leq K_p \left[\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{i\theta} y\|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} - 1 \right] \quad (7.1)$$

whenever $\|x\| = 1$ and $\|y\| = \epsilon$, for $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/K_p$. Since equation (7.1) holds, $\lambda_p = 1/K_p^{r+1} \in (0, 1]$ satisfies

$$(1 + \lambda_p \epsilon^r)^{1/r} \leq 1 + \lambda_p \epsilon^r \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{i\theta} y\|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p}$$

whenever $\|x\| = 1$ and $\|y\| = \epsilon$, for $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/K_p$, and for all $p > 0$. For $\epsilon > 1/K_p$ the inequality continues holding as $x \mapsto \|x\|^p$ is plurisubharmonic.

Let us summarize our results in the following theorem:

Theorem 7.1. *The following are equivalent definitions of r -uniform PL-convexity:*

(a) *For some $p > 0$ there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ such that*

$$(\|x\|^r + \lambda \|y\|^r)^{1/r} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{i\theta} y\|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p}, \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

(b) $H_1^X \succ^0 g$ for $g(\epsilon) = \epsilon^r$;

(c) $H_p^X \succ^0 g$ for $g(\epsilon) = \epsilon^r$, and for every $p > 0$;

(d) *for every $p > 0$ there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ such that*

$$(\|x\|^r + \lambda \|y\|^r)^{1/r} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{i\theta} y\|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p}, \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

For $p > 1$, the equivalence of (a) and (d) in the previous theorem can be deduced separately by using an argument of Weissler in [26] (in the first four lines of his proof of Corollary 2.1) applied to the subharmonic function $\zeta \mapsto \|x + \zeta y\|$:

Theorem 7.2. *Let $1 < p < q < \infty$ and $r \leq \sqrt{(p-1)/(q-1)}$. Then for every choice of vectors x and y in an arbitrary complex Banach space X , we have*

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + re^{i\theta} y\|^q d\theta \right)^{1/q} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{i\theta} y\|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p}.$$

This is the complex version of the hypercontractive inequality that can be found in [19, Corollary 1.e.15].

8 Acknowledgement

The author thanks William B. Johnson, Harold P. Boas, Maite Fernández Unzueta and Mónica Moreno Rocha for their availability to discuss the essential ideas behind this manuscript. I also wish to thank Gilles Pisier, Xavier Gómez-Mont Ávalos, Loredana Lanzani, Phillip Harrington and Alexandre Ramos Peón for helpful leads for the formal writing of the article.

References

- [1] K. Avetisyan, O. Djordjević, M. Pavlović, *Littlewood-Paley inequalities in uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 336 (2007), pp. 31-43.
- [2] K. Ball, E. A. Carlen, E. H. Lieb, *Sharp uniform convexity and smoothness inequalities for trace norms*, Invent math. 115 (1994), pp. 463-482.
- [3] O. Blasco, M. Pavlović, *Complex convexity and vector-valued Littlewood-Paley inequalities*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 35 (2003), pp. 749-758.
- [4] G. Blower, T. Ransford, *Complex uniform convexity and Riesz measures*, Canad. J. Math. 56 (2004), pp. 225-245.
- [5] K. Diederich, J. E. Fornaess, *An example with nontrivial nebenhuelle*, Math. Ann. 225 (1977), pp. 275-292.
- [6] W. J. Davis, D. J. H. Garling, N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *The Complex Convexity of Quasi-normed Linear Spaces*, Journal of Functional Analysis 55 (1984), pp. 110-150.
- [7] Ronald G. Douglas, Steven G. Krantz, Eric T. Sawyer, Sergei Treil, Brett D. Wick, *The Corona Problem; Connections Between Operator Theory, Function Theory, and Geometry*, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [8] T. Fack, *Type and cotype inequalities for non commutative L^p -spaces*, J. Operator Theory 17 (1987), pp. 255-279.
- [9] J. E. Formaess, B. Stenonson, *Lectures on counterexamples in several complex variables*, Math. Notes, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1987.
- [10] J. Globevnik, *On complex strict and uniform convexity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1975), pp. 175-178.
- [11] L. Hörmander, *An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables*, 3rd ed., North-Holland Mathematical Library, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [12] L. Hörmander, *Notions of convexity*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994.
- [13] W. B. Johnson, S. Ortega Castillo, *The cluster value problem in spaces of continuous functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015), pp. 1559-1568.
- [14] W. B. Johnson, S. Ortega Castillo, *The cluster value problem for Banach spaces*, Illinois J. Math. 58 (2014), pp. 405-412.
- [15] N. Kerzman, *Hölder and L^p estimates for solutions of $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in strongly pseudoconvex domains*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics 24 (1971), pp. 301-379.
- [16] J. J. Kohn, L. Nirenberg, *A pseudoconvex domain not admitting a holomorphic support function*, Math. Ann. 257 (1981), pp. 171-184.

- [17] H. J. Lee, *Monotonicity and complex convexity in Banach lattices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 307 (2005), pp. 86-101.
- [18] L. Lempert, *The Dolbeault complex in infinite dimensions II*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1999), pp. 775-793.
- [19] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces II, Function Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [20] G. McDonald, *The maximal ideal space of $H^\infty + C$ on the ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Can. Math. J. 31 (1979), pp. 79-86.
- [21] J. Mujica, *Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces*, vol. 120, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [22] M. Range, *Holomorphic functions and integral representations in several complex variables*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1986).
- [23] N. Sibony, *Probleme de la couronne pour de domaines pseudoconvexes a bord lisse*, Ann. of Math. 126 (1987), pp. 675-682.
- [24] N. Sibony, *Some aspects of weakly pseudoconvex domains*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 52 (1991), pp. 199-231.
- [25] A. Takeuchi, *Domaines pseudoconvexes infinis et la métrique riemannienne dans un espace projectif*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 16 (1964), pp. 159-181.
- [26] F. B. Weissler, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and hypercontractive estimates on the circle*, J. Funct. Anal. 37 (1980), pp. 218-234.

*S. Ortega Castillo, Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas A. C.
 Guanajuato, Guanajuato 36023, México
 Email address: sofia.ortega@cimat.mx*